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Abstract

Modulatory neurons project widely throughout the brain, dynamically altering network processing based on an animal’s physiological state. The connectivity of individual modulatory neurons can be complex, as they often receive input from a variety of sources and are diverse in their physiology, structure, and gene expression profiles. To establish basic principles about the connectivity of individual modulatory neurons, we examined a pair of identified neurons, the “contralaterally projecting, serotonin-immunoreactive deutocerebral neurons” (CSDns), within the olfactory system of Drosophila. Specifically, we determined the neuronal classes providing synaptic input to the CSDns within the antennal lobe (AL), an olfactory network targeted by the CSDns, and the degree to which CSDn active zones are uniformly distributed across the AL. Using anatomical techniques, we found that the CSDns received glomerulus-specific input from olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs) and projection neurons (PNs), and network-wide input from local interneurons (LNs). Furthermore, we quantified the number of CSDn active zones in each glomerulus and found that CSDn output is not uniform, but rather heterogeneous across glomeruli and stereotyped from animal to animal. Finally, we demonstrate that the CSDns synapse broadly onto LNs and PNs throughout the AL, but do not synapse upon ORNs. Our results demonstrate that modulatory neurons do not necessarily provide purely top-down input, but rather receive neuron class-specific input from the networks that they target, and that even a two cell modulatory network has highly heterogeneous, yet stereotyped pattern of connectivity.
Significance Statement

Modulatory neurons often project broadly throughout the brain to alter processing based on physiological state. However, the connectivity of individual modulatory neurons to their target networks is not well understood, as modulatory neuron populations are heterogeneous in their physiology, morphology, and gene expression. In this study, we use a pair of identified serotonergic neurons within the Drosophila olfactory system as a model to establish a framework for modulatory neuron connectivity. We demonstrate that individual modulatory neurons can integrate neuron class-specific input from their target network, which is often non-reciprocal. Additionally, modulatory neuron output can be stereotyped, yet non-uniform across network regions. Our results provide new insight into the synaptic relationships that underlie network function of modulatory neurons.

Introduction

Neural networks alter the manner in which they process information based on the current physiological state of the animal. This can be achieved via modulatory nuclei, or even individual modulatory neurons, that project broadly throughout the brain to alter dynamics of specific networks (Hurley et al., 2004). These nuclei receive synaptic input from a diverse array of sources and, consequently, the neural mechanisms controlling modulatory nuclei are often complex. For example, the synaptic inputs to serotonergic Raphe neurons include cortex, striatum, midbrain, and hypothalamus (Ogawa et al., 2014; Pollak Dorocic et al., 2014; Weissbourd et al., 2014) and Raphe neurons modulate activity in a wide array of networks, including those regulating sensory
functions (Hurley et al., 2004; Petzold et al., 2009; Kapoor et al., 2016; Lottem et al., 2016), reward (Nakamura, 2013), and emotional states (Hale et al., 2012). Likewise, there is increasing evidence that modulatory nuclei can also receive input from their target network (Sim and Joseph, 1993; Peyron et al., 1996; Pollak Dorocic et al., 2014), suggesting that the synaptic relationships between modulatory nuclei and their targets are to some extent reciprocal. Numerous studies have suggested that even a subpopulation of neurons within a given modulatory nucleus are diverse in terms of their genetic lineages, physiological characteristics, morphology, and functionality (O’Hearn and Molliver, 1984; Jensen et al., 2008; Wylie et al., 2010; Bang et al., 2012; Andrade and Haj-Dahmane, 2013; Okaty et al., 2015). However, the extent to which individual modulatory neurons have reciprocal synaptic relationships or provide uniform synaptic output within a target network is unclear, as is the degree to which features of modulatory neuron synaptic connectivity are stereotyped from animal to animal.

Identified modulatory neurons provide a framework for studying heterogeneity of synaptic relationships across a target network (i.e. is a given relationship maintained across a network) and the degree to which observed heterogeneity is stereotyped (i.e. is connectivity variable across individuals). The *Drosophila* olfactory system is innervated by a single pair of serotonergic modulatory neurons, the “contralaterally projecting, serotonin-immunoreactive deutocerebral neurons” (CSDns), (Dacks et al., 2006; Roy et al., 2007; Zhang and Gaudry, 2016) and the principal AL neuron classes have been characterized extensively in terms of their response profiles, morphology, physiological characteristics, transmitter content (Wilson, 2013). Thus, the CSDns represent an excellent model system to establish basic principles for connectivity of
individual modulatory neurons as they are easily identified across animals and project to many synaptic layers within the olfactory system.

The CSD neurons are two of the approximately 90 serotonergic neurons in the central *Drosophila* brain (Valles and White, 1988; Alekseyenko et al., 2010; Sitaraman et al., 2012; Pech et al., 2013; Pooryasin and Fiala, 2015). The CSDns project broadly throughout the olfactory system of *Drosophila*, innervating the antennal lobe (AL; the first-order olfactory neuropil), lateral horn (LH) and mushroom body calyx (second-order olfactory neuropil), and superior lateral protocerebrum (a third-order processing region) (Dacks et al., 2006; Roy et al., 2007; Berck et al., 2016) and are conserved across many insect taxa (Kent et al., 1987; Dacks et al., 2006). The CSDns are the sole source of 5-HT innervation in the ALs and exogenous application of 5-HT (Kloppenburg and Hildebrand, 1995; Kloppenburg et al., 1999; Hill et al., 2003; Dacks et al., 2009; Zhang and Gaudry, 2016) as well as optogenetic activation of the CSDns (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016) modulate both local interneurons (LNs) and projection neurons (PNs). However, the synaptic relationships of the adult CSDns with principal AL neuron types are not known. Therefore, we sought to determine which AL neuron classes provide input to the CSDns and if the CSDns synapse reciprocally with these neurons. We further asked whether this input was network-wide or glomerular-specific and if it was stereotyped from animal to animal. Finally, we asked if the CSDns’ synaptic output was uniform across odor processing units (glomeruli) in the AL. Our results demonstrate that individual modulatory neurons integrate neuron class-specific input from their target networks and that even a two-cell modulatory network has heterogeneous, yet stereotyped synaptic connectivity.
Materials and Methods

Fly Stocks. Flies were raised on standard cornmeal/agar/yeast medium at 25°C and 60% humidity on a 12:12 light-dark cycle. The following fly stocks were used in this study: MB465C split-Gal4 (Aso et al., 2014), R60F02-Gal4 (BDSC #48228), UAS-GFP (BDSC #32185), UAS-RFP, LexAop-GFP (BDSC #32229), R10G02-LexA (BDSC #61509), Or83b-LexA::VP16 (Lai and Lee, 2006), LexAop-GFP (BDSC #32207), LexAop-nsyb-spGFP1-10, UAS-CD4-spGFP11 (BDSC #64315), R32F10-LexA (BDSC #61509), VGlut-LexA (Diao et al., 2015), GH146-LexA::GAD (Lai et al., 2008), R44A08-LexA (BDSC #54863), Mz19-QF (BDSC #41573), QUAS-ChR2 (BDSC #58402), UAS-syt.eGFP (BDSC #6926), UAS-DTI (BDSC #25039), UAS-Brp-short mStraw, UAS-GFP (Fouquet et al., 2009), UAS-nsyb-spGFP1-10, LexAop-CD4-spGFP11 (BDSC #64314).

Throughout this study we use two different drivers, R60F02-Gal4 (Jenett et al., 2012) and MB465c-split Gal4 (Pfeiffer et al., 2010; Aso et al., 2014) that express selectively or exclusively, respectively, in both CSDns. The split Gal4 is an intersectional strategy which is used to restrict Gal4 expression to a single population of neurons (Luan et al., 2006; Pfeiffer et al., 2010; Aso et al., 2014), as Gal4 drivers rarely express in a single pair of neurons. R60F02 was not used to generate the MB465c split Gal4 line (which derives from R37D04-Gal4 and R51B02-Gal4), thus the R60F02 Gal4 and MB465c split Gal4 fly lines give us independent means to examine the CSDns. All R x lines (e.g. R60F02) were originally generated in the lab of Dr. Gerry Rubin (Jenett et al., 2012).

Immunocytochemistry. Brains of roughly equal numbers of male and female flies were dissected in Drosophila external saline [(Zhang et al., 2010); 135mM NaCl, 5mM...
KCl, 4mM MgCl₂·6H₂O, 2mM CaCl₂·2H₂O, 5mM TES (N-tris[hydroxymethyl]methyl-2-
aminoethane sulfonic acid), 36mM sucrose, pH 7.15] and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde
for 30 minutes at 4°C. Brains were then washed in PBST (Phosphate buffered saline
with 0.5% Triton-X100) and blocked for 1 hour in 2% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)
(Jackson ImmunoResearch; #001-000-162) in PBST, with the exception of syb:GRASP
protocols, which used 4% BSA. Brains were then incubated in primary antibodies
diluted in block solution with 5mM sodium azide. Dilutions and incubation times of
primary antibodies are listed in Table 1. After incubation, brains were washed in PBST
and blocked for 1 hour in BSA. Secondary antibodies were then applied for 24 hours,
except for protocols using the Brp (Wagh et al., 2006) or N-Cadherin (Iwai et al., 1997)
antibodies, which had a 48 hour incubation period. Brains were then washed in PBST
and PBS, run through an ascending glycerol series (40%, 60%, & 80%), and mounted in
VectaShield (Vector Labs H-1000). Images were acquired using either a 40x or 60x oil
immersion lens on an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope. Image processing
occurred in Olympus Fluoview FV10-ASW (RRID:SCR_014215), VAA3D,
(RRID:SCR_002609), and FIJI (RRID:SCR_002285). Images were stitched together
using Photoshop (RRID:SCR_014199) as needed. All figures were organized using
CorelDraw X4 (RRID:SCR_014235). With the exception of Figure 1C, all images depict
a “frontal” orientation in which the medial to lateral and dorsal to ventral axes are visible.
To determine the number of glomeruli innervated by GH146-LexA::GAD PNs, we used
GFP expression and Brp-ir.

Table 1: Antibodies used for immunocytochemistry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Species, Manufacturer, cat. no.</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Incubation time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**syb:GRASP Immunocytochemistry.** Induction of syb:GRASP was performed using KCl washes as previously described (Macpherson et al., 2015). Briefly, brains were rinsed alternating between 70mM KCl and Drosophila External Saline, 3x for 5 seconds per wash type. Brains were then incubated for 10 minutes in Drosophila external saline at room temperature. Immunocytochemistry was then performed as described above. A mouse monoclonal antibody was used to label the reconstituted GFP (Gordon and Scott, 2009) and therefore neuropil were marked using a rat N-Cadherin antibody (Iwai et al., 1997) rather than the mouse Brp antibody (Wagh et al., 2006) which is a commonly used neuropil label. The location of GFP reconstitution within the AL was extremely consistent. However, for some syb:GRASP combinations, GFP reconstitution was observed in only a proportion of animals and in the remaining animals, no GFP reconstitution was visible anywhere in the brain. The proportions of animals expressing GFP reconstitution for each driver combination are noted in the results section. It should be noted that different fly line combinations in syb:GRASP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Incubation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>Goat (ImmunoStar Cat# 20079, RRID:AB_572262)</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>Rabbit (ImmunoStar Cat# 20080, RRID:AB_572263)</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brp</td>
<td>Mouse (DSHB Cat# nc82, RRID:AB_2314868)</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed</td>
<td>Rabbit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc. Cat# 632496, RRID:AB_10013483)</td>
<td>1:250</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP (for GRASP)</td>
<td>Mouse (Sigma-Aldrich Cat# G6539, RRID:AB_259941)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Rabbit (Molecular Probes Cat# A-11122, RRID:AB_221569)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Chicken (Abcam Cat# ab13970, RRID:AB_300798)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Cadherin</td>
<td>Rat (DSHB Cat# DN-Ex #8, RRID:AB_2619582)</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
experiments differ in the sparseness of the GFP reconstitution due to number of neurons expressed in each line (and therefore total number of potential synaptic connections) and strength of driver. In the syb:GRASP experiments, co-labeling for 5-HT was used to confirm that the reconstituted GFP occurs only on the surface of the CSDn processes.

**Brp-Short puncta counts.** To mark individual active zones of the CSDns, we used Brp-short_mStraw (Mosca and Luo, 2014) which is a non-functional, fluorescently tagged form of the active zone protein Bruchpilot (Brp) (Wagh et al., 2006). Brp-short has been extensively validated as a marker of active zones using immuno-electron microscopy and association with other active zone markers (Schmid et al., 2008; Fouquet et al., 2009; Owald et al., 2010; Mosca and Luo, 2014), and has been previously used to quantify active zones in the *Drosophila* antennal lobes (Mosca and Luo, 2014), mushroom body (Kremer et al., 2010; Christiansen et al., 2011), and optic lobes (Berger-Muller et al., 2013).

We simultaneously expressed UAS-Brp-short_mStraw and UAS-GFP in the CSD neurons using MB465c-spGal4. Confocal optical stacks of Brp-short expression in the CSDNs were adjusted for contrast in FIJI and exported as Tiff file stacks for manual marking of individual puncta in VAA3D (Peng et al., 2010). Each glomerulus was identified and individual Brp-short puncta were localized across two 2D planes and quantified (n = 7-12 per glomerulus). All marker placements were verified by two observers and compared to UAS-GFP expression to confirm that puncta were associated with CSDn branches. Glomeruli which could not be reliably identified across animals were excluded. The volume of each identified glomerulus was determined using
the FIJI add-on Volumest (Merzin, 2008). and volumes were averaged across samples. To calculate the puncta density for each glomerulus, average puncta counts for each glomerulus were divided by glomerular volume. Average density values were then normalized across all glomeruli and these values were assigned gradation values of the color red for each glomerulus to generate the heat map in Figure 6D1-D3. Grey glomeruli are those that could not be identified with confidence across preparations.

**Electrophysiology and optogenetic stimulation.** Whole-cell recordings were performed as previously described in Zhang and Gaudry, 2016. Data were low-pass filtered at 5 kHz using an AM Systems model 2400 amplifier (AM Systems, Carlsborg, Washington) and digitized at 10 kHz. Pipettes were pulled from thin-walled borosilicate glass (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL; 1.5 mm outer diameter, 1.12 mm inner diameter) to a resistance of 8–12 MΩ. Neurons were visualized using oblique illumination from an infrared LED guide through a fiber optic (Thorlabs, Newton, New Jersey) (Maimon et al., 2010). The external recording solution contained in mM: 103 NaCl, 3 KCl, 5 N-tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl-2-aminoethane-sulfonic acid, 8 trehalose, 10 glucose, 26 NaHCO3, 1 NaH2PO4, 1.5 CaCl2, and 4 MgCl2 (adjusted to 270–275 mOsm). The saline was bubbled with 95% O2/5% CO2 and reached a pH of 7.3. Our internal solution contained in mM: 140 potassium aspartate, 10 HEPES, 4 MgATP, 0.5 Na3GTP, 1 EGTA, and 1 KCl. A small hyperpolarizing current was applied to offset the depolarization caused by the pipette seal conductance. Their resting potentials were adjusted slightly to match the firing rate of similar neurons obtained in cell-attached recordings. Neurons which did not fire spontaneously or that had depolarized membrane potentials upon break-in were excluded from the study. For optogenetic
stimulation, we used a high-powered Blue LED (Thorlabs M470L3, 470 nm) to stimulate channelrhodopsin-2 expressing neurons. The LED was mounted into the epifluorescence light path and light was presented at 0.057 mW/mm² as measured by a Thorlabs light meter PM100A with light sensor S130C. Flies expressing channelrhodopsin-2 were raised on food containing 0.2 mM all-trans-retinal. All-trans retinal was prepared as a stock solution in ethanol (35 mM), and 28 μl of this stock was mixed into approximately 5 ml of rehydrated potato flakes and added to the top of a vial of conventional food.

**Statistics.** Graph Pad Prism v.6.01 (Graphpad Software Inc., RRID:SCR_002798) was used for all statistical analyses. A two-way paired t-test was used for physiological data analysis. A D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test was used to determine normality of the puncta count data and a Kruskal-Wallis was used to determine if active zone density varied across glomeruli in Figure 6E. Coefficient of Variance was calculated for each glomerulus (Fig. 6F) to measure the degree of variability of puncta counts.

**Results**

While the connectivity of principal AL neurons have been established in *Drosophila*, the synaptic connectivity of the CSDns with principal AL neurons are not well-known. Therefore, we sought to determine which principal AL neurons synapse with the CSDns in the AL and characterize the reciprocity of their synaptic relationships. We then asked if the CSDns synaptic output was uniform across glomeruli in the AL and if these connectivity relationships were stereotyped.
Basic CSD neuron morphology

The CSDns were first described in *Manduca sexta* (Kent et al., 1987) and were thereafter characterized in many holometabolous (complete metamorphosing) insects (Wegerhoff, 1999; Hill et al., 2002; Dacks et al., 2006; Roy et al., 2007), including *Drosophila*. In *Drosophila*, the CSDn soma reside in the lateral cell cluster of the antennal lobe (AL) on each side of the brain (Fig. 1). A few processes extend off the primary neurite into the coarse neuropil of the AL while the primary process projects dorsoposteriorly along the medial antennal lobe tract (m-ALT) to innervate several ipsilateral and contralateral protocerebral regions, including the antler, mushroom body calyx, superior lateral protocerebrum, and lateral horn (LH) (Ito et al., 2014). The CSDns projects anteroventrally along the contralateral m-ALT to the contralateral AL where they branch extensively. Finally, the CSDns extends a small process across the antennal commissure to sparsely innervate the ipsilateral AL (Dacks et al., 2006) (Fig. 1A-B). The CSDns’ axons branch within most, if not all glomeruli in the AL, and their innervation varies in a glomerulus-specific manner (discussed below). The CSDns are serotonergic (Fig. 1C) and have also been reported to be cholinergic (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016).

The CSDns receive glomerulus-specific excitatory input and antennal lobe-wide inhibitory input.

Anatomical evidence from larval and adult *Drosophila* (Roy et al., 2007; Berck et al., 2016; Zhang and Gaudry, 2016), as well as *Manduca* (Sun et al., 1993), indicate
that the CSDns receive synaptic input within the AL. This suggests that ongoing network activity in the AL can affect the CSDns, however the specific AL neuron classes that synapse upon the CSDns in adult *Drosophila* are unknown. There are three principal neuronal classes within the AL of *Drosophila*. Cholinergic ORNs on the antennae and maxillary palps that express a given chemoreceptive protein innervate the same AL glomerulus where they synapse upon GABAergic, cholinergic, and glutamatergic local interneurons (LNs), which refine olfactory signals, and projection neurons (PNs) which relay olfactory signals to the LH and mushroom body calyx (Martin et al., 2011; Wilson, 2013; Joseph and Carlson, 2015). The CSDns are responsive to exogenous application of acetylcholine, GABA, and glutamate (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016), indicating that all three principal AL neuron classes are potential presynaptic candidates. To identify the neuron classes that are presynaptic to the CSDns in adult *Drosophila*, we used a variant of GFP reconstitution across synaptic partners (GRASP) (Feinberg et al., 2008; Gordon and Scott, 2009). In this technique, partial GFP fragments are expressed extracellularly on the membrane by two neuron populations of interest. When the neurons come into contact, the GFP fragments at the site of contact reconstitute into a full GFP molecule which can then be visualized using an antibody that only recognizes the full GFP molecule. For our experiments, we used synaptobrevin GRASP (syb:GRASP) in which one GFP fragment is fused to the presynaptic protein synaptobrevin (syb:spGFP_{1-10}) and the other GFP fragment (CD4:spGFP_{11}) is expressed extracellularly as described above (Macpherson et al., 2015). Thus, the presynaptic GFP fragment cannot reconstitute with the postsynaptic GFP fragment prior to vesicle fusion, and, consequently, GFP reconstitution is only induced at the synapse. This allows for
screening of candidate AL neurons that are presynaptic to the CSDns at functional
synapses in which we induced vesicle fusion using KCl washes (see Methods).

The CSDns are inhibited by most odors and the strength of odor evoked
inhibition increases with the strength of electroantennogram deflection (Zhang and
Gaudry, 2016), which serves as a proxy for degree of network activation. This suggests
that the CSDns receive a significant amount of synaptic input directly from LNs.

R32F10-LexA is expressed in the AL only by 12.5 LNs +/- .86 SEM (Fig. 2A; n = 8), all
of which are GABAergic (Fig. 2B). We drove expression of syb:GRASPspGFP\textsubscript{1-10} using
R32F10-LexA and CD4spGFP\textsubscript{11} in CSDns using MB465c-spGal4. We observed
syb:GRASP signal from the R32F10 LNs onto the CSDns throughout the AL (7/7
brains), spanning from anterior (Fig. 2C) to posterior depths (Fig. 2D). We next tested
whether glutamatergic LNs are presynaptic to the CSDns, since they likewise have
overlapping innervation patterns (Fig. 2E). We drove expression of syb:GRASPspGFP\textsubscript{1-10} in
 glutamatergic LNs using VGlut-LexA (Diao et al., 2015) and, similar to GABAergic
 LNs, syb:GRASP signal was seen extensively throughout the AL (Fig. 2F; 8/9 brains).

Although the CSDns are primarily inhibited by odors, they are excited by
ammonia and are responsive to exogenous application of acetylcholine (Zhang and
Gaudry, 2016), suggesting that cholinergic ORNs and/or PNs may synapse upon the
CSDns. To determine if ORNs are presynaptic to the CSDns, we drove
syb:GRASPspGFP\textsubscript{1-10} in two LexA lines (Or83b-LexA and R10G02-LexA; Fig. 3B and
Fig. 3D, respectively that are expressed by different populations of ORNs) and CD4-
spGFP\textsubscript{11} in the CSDns using MB465c-spGal4. Despite broad physical overlap of ORN
and CSDn processes (Fig. 3A,C) we only identified syb:GRASP signal consistently in
DL4 (Fig. 3B1; 12/12 brains), DM2 (Fig. 3B2; 12/12 brains) and DM1 (Fig. 3B3; 12/12 brains) in Or83b-lexA brains, and VM1 (Fig. 3D1; 12/12 brains) in R10G02-LexA brains. In both lines, we observed additional glomeruli with syb:GRASP signal which were not present across individuals as consistently as the glomeruli identified above. For instance, we observed syb:GRASP signal in the VA7i in 10 out of 12 R10G02-LexA brains (Fig. 3D2). In Or83b-lexA brains, we also observed syb:GRASP signal in D (4/12 brains), VM2 (3/12 brains) DM3 (3/12 brains), DA2 (2/12 brains), DM4 (1/12 brains), DM5 (1/12 brains), and VM5v (1/12 brains). These results suggest that while the connectivity of specific ORN populations onto the CSDns is consistent across individuals, there is also ORN-specific inter-animal variability. Collectively, these results demonstrate that the CSDns receive input from ORNs in a glomerulus-specific manner.

Although PNs receive a large amount of input within the AL, PN processes have a significant amount of output synapses within a glomerulus (Kazama and Wilson, 2009; Rybak et al., 2016). Given the overlap of PN and the CSDn processes (Fig 4A), we sought to determine if cholinergic PNs are presynaptic to the CSDns. We therefore drove expression of syb:GRASPspGFP1-10 in GH146-LexA::GAD and CD4-spGFP11 in the CSDns using MB465c-spGal4. GH146-LexA is expressed by cholinergic PNs predominantly from the lateral and anterodorsal cell clusters (Lai and Lee, 2006) in approximately 22 glomeruli (n = 4 brains). Interestingly, syb:GRASP signal was seen only in the DM5 glomerulus (Fig. 4B; 10/16 brains). We further confirmed that DM5 PNs synapse upon the CSDns using the R44A08-LexA which, in the AL, is expressed by DM5 (Fig. 4C) and DL3 PNs (data not shown). Yet, GFP reconstitution was only present in DM5 (Fig. 4D; 7/9 brains). To determine the extent to which a small number of PNs in
a single glomerulus could affect the activity of the CSD neurons, we obtained whole-cell patch clamp recordings from the CSDns while activating PNs via Mz19-QF expression (Hong et al., 2012) of QUAS-ChR2. Photo-induced activation of PNs caused a fast EPSP in the CSDns that was blocked by subsequent application of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist mecamylamine (Fig. 4E, F; n = 7 CSD neurons, p = 0.0053, paired t-test). Altogether, our results suggest that the CSDns receive excitatory input in a glomerulus-specific manner from both ORNs and PNs (Fig. 4G) and network-wide inhibitory input from LNs (Fig. 2C, D, F) within the AL.

**CSD neurons’ synaptic output is broad, yet heterogeneous throughout the AL**

Electron microscopy and transgenic studies have demonstrated that the CSDns have presynaptic terminals in the AL of *Manduca sexta* (Sun et al., 1993) and *Drosophila* (Roy et al., 2007; Zhang and Gaudry, 2016), respectively. However, localization of CSDn active zones throughout the rest of the olfactory system is largely unexplored. Therefore, we drove expression of synaptotagmin-GFP (syt.eGFP) (Zhang et al., 2002) in the CSDns and, consistent with these previous studies, syt.eGFP was found throughout the AL (Fig. 5A). We also observed syt.eGFP expression in the superior lateral protocerebrum, lateral horn, antler (Fig. 5B,C), and mushroom body calyx (Fig. 5D). The syt.eGFP signal was weak in the primary processes of the CSDns in the m-ALT, indicating that syt.eGFP is a reliable marker of presynaptic regions (Fig. 5B). To determine if there are any other sources of 5-HT to these olfactory regions, we expressed temperature sensitive UAS-Diphtheria toxin (UAS-DTI) to ablate the CSDns after complete development of the adult olfactory system (Han et al., 2000). Ablation of
the CSDns abolished all 5-HT-immunoreactivity in the AL (Fig. 5E), mushroom body calyx (Fig. 5F), and lateral horn (Fig. 5G) as well as most 5-HT immunoreactivity in the superior lateral protocerebrum (Fig. 5G), demonstrating that the CSDns are the sole source of 5-HT innervation across multiple processing stages in the olfactory system.

In the AL, sy.t.eGFP expression was not equally distributed across all glomeruli (Fig. 5A) and the density of CSDn processes differ between several glomeruli (Singh et al., 2013), suggesting that the CSDns may provide more input to some glomeruli over others. We therefore sought to determine the density of CSDn active zones across AL glomeruli and determine if CSDn active zone density was stereotyped across animals. Bruchpilot-Short (Brp-Short) is a truncated, non-functional form of the endogenous active zone protein Bruchpilot (Brp) (Wagh et al., 2006) which aggregates with endogenous Brp and can be used to visualize active zones. UAS-Brp-Short has been used extensively in Drosophila to label active zones of specific neurons of interest in the olfactory system (Kremer et al., 2010; Christiansen et al., 2011; Mosca and Luo, 2014), neuromuscular synapses, (Schmid et al., 2008; Fouquet et al., 2009), and visual system (Berger-Muller et al., 2013).

To quantify the number of CSDn active zones in each glomerulus, we simultaneously drove expression of the Bruchpilot Brp-short$mStraw$ (Fouquet et al., 2009; Mosca and Luo, 2014) and GFP in the CSDns to visualize active zones and the CSDn innervation pattern, respectively (Fig. 6A-C). This allowed us to generate a “heat-map” of glomerulus-specific CSDn synaptic density (Fig. 6D) and to rank-order glomeruli from lowest CSD active zone density (Va1v) to the highest (Vc3m; Fig. 6E). The CSD neuron synaptic density varied significantly across glomeruli ($P < 0.0001$, dF = 38, Kruskal-
Wallis test, Fig. 6E) indicating that there is glomerulus-specific heterogeneity of the synaptic output of these modulatory neurons. The CSDn active zone density varied smoothly across the entire range, suggesting that glomeruli do not belong to discrete groups based on normalized CSDn active zone density. We therefore sought to determine if the heterogeneity in CSD active zone density across glomeruli was stereotyped across animals, as even identified neurons in invertebrate systems can have a surprising degree of inter-animal variability in their morphology (Chou et al., 2010; Otopalik et al., 2017b; Otopalik et al., 2017a). To determine the degree of variability in glomerulus-specific CSDn active zone density we calculated the coefficient of variance (CV) for each glomerulus (Fig. 6F). Only 4 glomeruli (DA4l, VA7l, DL3, and DL4) were outside of the interquartile range across all glomeruli in the data set. However, these glomeruli likely had higher CV values due to their relatively low active zone density values. Thus, the CSDns likely modulate olfactory processing in glomeruli in a non-uniform, yet stereotyped manner.

**CSDns are presynaptic to LN and PN in the AL, but not ORN**

Finally, we sought to determine the classes of neurons upon which the CSDns synapse. PNs, LN, and ORNs all express one or more 5-HT receptor type (Sizemore and Dacks, 2016) and are thus potential postsynaptic targets of the CSDns. Optogenetic activation of CSDns elicits responses from both PNs and LN in adult *Drosophila* (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016), and electron microscopy studies of the CSDns in larval *Drosophila* demonstrated that the CSDns synapse upon specific LN types (Berck et al., 2016). However, because both the input to the CSDns and the collective
distribution of CSDn active zones are glomerulus-specific, it is unclear if the CSDns synapse upon precise neuron classes in a glomerulus-specific manner as well. Therefore, we drove syb:GRASPspGFP1-10 in the CSDns (presynaptic) using MB465c-spGal4 and CD4-spGFP11 (postsynaptic) in PNs using GH146-LexA::GAD, GABAergic LNs using R32F10, or ORNs using R10G02 and Or83b-LexA. For PNs, syb:GRASP signal was distributed throughout the AL (Fig. 7A; 13/23 brains), as opposed to being restricted to a small number of glomeruli, as was the case for PNs providing synaptic input to the CSDns (Fig. 4B). For LNs, syb:GRASP signal was distributed throughout the AL (Fig. 7B; 22/23 brains), suggesting that the glomerulus-specific differences in CSDn active zone density (Fig. 6) are not due to the CSDn synapsing upon a specific neuron class in only select glomeruli. Although ORNs synapse upon the CSDns in select glomeruli (Fig. 3), we did not observe any syb:GRASP signal from CSDns synapsing upon ORNs using the R10G02-LexA (0/20). Using the Or83b-LexA, we observed weak to no syb:GRASP signal, indicating that the CSDns synaptic influence on ORNs is little to non-existent (n = 7 brains, data not shown), although we cannot dismiss the possibility of volumetric release. Collectively, our data demonstrate that individual modulatory neurons are not necessarily uniform in their distribution of active zones or the reciprocity of their synaptic interaction, and that modulatory neurons can receive input within their target networks in a neuron class-specific manner.

Discussion

Nervous systems rely on widely projecting modulatory neurons to adjust the activity of individual neural networks based on ongoing external and internal demands.
on the organism. These modulatory neurons are often thought to provide top-down input. However, there is increasing evidence that modulatory neurons receive input from the networks that they target and that the connectivity of modulatory neurons is far from uniform. In this study, we characterized the nature of the synaptic relationships between a pair of identified serotonergic modulatory neurons in the olfactory system of Drosophila with their target neural networks. We found that the CSD neurons receive both glomerulus-specific excitatory and network-wide inhibitory input within the AL. Furthermore, we observed that the distribution of active zones of the CSDns was glomerulus-specific and heterogeneous across the AL. Together, our data demonstrate that individual modulatory neurons can have highly heterogeneous connectivity in terms of the degree that they are connected within a network and the identity of their synaptic partners.

**Reciprocal connectivity between CSDns and local interneurons**

At a population level, mammalian modulatory nuclei receive input from a variety of sources including their target networks. For instance, the Raphe nuclei receive input from many cortical and subcortical regions (Nakamura, 2013), including noradrenergic neurons in the locus coeruleus (Baraban and Aghajanian, 1981; Peyron et al., 1996), a brain region that dorsal Raphe neurons target (Sim and Joseph, 1993). At the level of identified modulatory neurons, we found connectivity relationships demonstrating that modulatory neurons receive neuron class-specific input. Of the three principal AL neuron classes, LNs provided the most widespread input to the CSDns, suggesting that most of the olfactory information received by the CSDns is through inhibitory lateral interactions. Consistent with this, the majority of tested odors inhibit the CSDns, with
those odors activating larger proportions of the olfactory system resulting in stronger inhibition (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016). LNs shape AL network dynamics, refining PN activity before it is relayed to higher order brain regions (Olsen and Wilson, 2008; Root et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2010; Olsen et al., 2010; Yaksi and Wilson, 2010; Nagel and Wilson, 2011; Root et al., 2011; Liu and Wilson, 2013; Hong and Wilson, 2015; Nagel et al., 2015). GABAergic and glutamatergic LNs synapse upon the CSDns (Fig. 2C,D,F) and both transmitters elicit fast inhibition of the CSDns (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016). The CSDns synapse extensively upon LNs (Fig. 7B) and cause a brief excitation, followed by inhibition (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016). This extensive reciprocal connectivity between the CSDns and the local inhibitory network suggests that interplay between these two neuronal populations likely serves to adjust network-wide dynamics.

**Glomerulus-specific input to the CSDns**

Within the AL, the CSDns receive little input from excitatory neurons, but the input they do receive is glomerulus-specific, suggesting the CSDns may be fairly sparse in their breadth of odor tuning. Despite broad overlap of ORN and CSDn innervation of the two fly lines we used (Fig. 3A-C), we found that ORNs synapse onto CSDns in only a few glomeruli (Fig. 3B,D), suggesting that volatile chemicals which activate the chemoreceptive proteins expressed by those ORN types will activate the CSDns. For instance, ORNs innervating the VM1 glomerulus synapse upon the CSDns. These ORNs express Ir92a which mediates the detection of ammonia (Min et al., 2013) and, consistent with sparse odor tuning, ammonia is the only odor known to excite CSDns (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016). The CSDns also receive input from ORNs responsive to ecologically relevant odors, including the Or46a expressing ORNs that innervate the
VA71 and respond to phenol, a behavioral repellant in the fecal matter of carnivores (Mansourian et al., 2016), as well as the Or49a/85f expressing ORNs that innervate the DL4 (Couto et al., 2005; Fishilevich and Vosshall, 2005) and respond to parasitoid-derived volatiles (Ebrahim et al., 2015). Although this suggests that the CSDns are activated by specific odor lines, some of the ORNs providing input to the CSDns are much more broadly tuned to volatiles, such as the Or22a ORNs innervating the DM2 (Hallem and Carlson, 2004; Hallem et al., 2004). Interestingly, the synaptic relationship between ORNs and the CSDns appears to shift during metamorphosis, likely reflecting changes in the relevance of specific odors for different life stages. In the larvae, Or74a and Or82a expressing ORNs synapse onto the CSDns (Berck et al., 2016). However, Or74a is not expressed in adults, and adult Or82a expressing ORNs do not synapse upon the CSDns. Furthermore, geranyl acetate, the cognate ligand of Or82a, does not activate the CSDns (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016). In contrast, the CSDns synapse upon LNs in larvae (Berck et al., 2016) and adults, demonstrating that certain connectivity rules are recapitulated during metamorphosis.

The CSDns also receive glomerulus-specific excitatory input from PNs innervating the DM5 glomerulus (Fig. 4) which are activated by high concentrations of apple cider vinegar, resulting in behavioral aversion (Semmelhack and Wang, 2009). This glomerulus-specific excitatory input to the CSDns suggests that, while most odors inhibit the CSDns due to activation of GABAergic and glutamatergic LNs, there are likely a small subset of “private” odors (i.e. odors activating sparse sets of glomeruli) that provide excitatory drive to the CSDns.
The AL neuron classes studied here differed from each other in terms of the reciprocity of their synaptic relationships with the CSDns. Several ORN types synapse onto the CSDns, but we found little evidence for the CSDns synapsing onto ORNs. This is consistent with observations that with GABA\(_B\) receptor blockade, isolated ORN axons are not affected by 5-HT (Dacks et al., 2009) and that in Manduca, CSDn processes do not overlap with ORNs (Sun et al., 1993; Lizbinski et al., 2016). However, ORNs express the 5-HT2B receptor (Sizemore and Dacks, 2016), suggesting that ORNs may receive significant serotonergic input from source(s) other than the CSDns, such as bulk release of 5-HT in the hemolymph, that activates ORN 5-HT receptors expressed in the antennae. This would be consistent with reports of CSDn independent modulation of PN odor-evoked responses in the AL (Zhang and Gaudry, 2016). The synaptic relationship between PNs and the CSDns shows a different direction of reciprocity relative to ORNs. PNs synapse onto the CSDns in just one glomerulus, yet the CSDns synapse onto PNs broadly throughout the AL (Fig. 7A), suggesting that the CSDns have widespread effects upon PNs, yet PNs as a population have less of an influence upon the CSDns. In contrast to both ORNs and PNs, LNs synapse onto the CSDns widely throughout the AL and the CSDns synapse back onto LNs in a similar manner. This suggests a more evenly reciprocal relationship between CSDns and LNs.

**Modulatory nuclei can provide heterogeneous output**

Populations of neurons within modulatory nuclei are highly heterogeneous with regards to their anatomy, physiology, genetic lineage, and functionality (Vandermaelen and Aghajanian, 1983; Kirby et al., 2003; Urbain et al., 2006; Calizo et al., 2011; Hale and Lowry, 2011; Okaty et al., 2015; Faget et al., 2016). For instance, the dorsal raphe...
nucleus (DRN) and medial raphe nucleus (MRN) in mice innervate distinct regions of the olfactory bulb, with DRN neurons innervating the granule cell layer and MRN neurons innervating the glomerular layer, demonstrating non-uniform output across serotonergic nuclei (McLean and Shipley, 1987; Steinfeld et al., 2015). We were able to quantify this structural heterogeneity at the level of the active zones of identified serotonergic neurons and found that while active zone density of a single modulatory neuron varies across glomeruli, it is actually highly stereotyped. Raphe projections to the olfactory bulb also differentially affect mitral cells and tufted cells (Kapoor et al., 2016), further suggesting that, similar to the CSDns, Raphe output is neuron class-specific and that individual Raphe neurons may have glomerulus specific targeting. Non-uniform synaptic output may also be a distinctive feature of individual modulatory neurons. The synaptic output of PNs (Stocker et al., 1990; Malun, 1991; Sun et al., 1997; Abel et al., 2001; Namiki and Kanzaki, 2008; Rybak et al., 2016) and ORNs (Mosca and Luo, 2014) are uniform across glomeruli suggesting that uniformity of synaptic output may differ between principal AL and modulatory neurons. The density of CSDn active zones differed widely between glomeruli, yet was stereotyped within each glomerulus from animal to animal, suggesting that individual modulatory neurons provide differential input to specific sub-regions. This does not necessarily isolate these glomeruli from the effects of neuromodulators, as modulation of lateral interactions could allow a modulatory neuron to influence activity in brain regions to which they do not project. Furthermore, syt.eGFP expression by the CSDns was observed in the LH and calyx (Fig. 5B-D) indicating that in addition to non-uniform effects within the AL, the CSDns simultaneously influence odor coding across multiple processing stages.
Conclusion

Taken together, our data suggest that within the AL, the CSDns integrate network-wide inhibition and glomerulus specific excitation. The CSDns appear to have a direct “top-down” relationship with PNs as they receive relatively little input from PNs, yet broadly synapse upon these output neurons. In contrast, the CSDns have a “bottom-up” relationship with ORNs as they receive input from specific ORNs but do not synapse on these input neurons. The degree of synaptic reciprocity between the CSDns and LNs suggests that the influence of the CSDns is likely tempered by the overall degree of activation by the network (as communicated by LN activity). LNs release both fast transmitters, like GABA and glutamate, and neuropeptides (Carlsson et al., 2010), suggesting that the communication between LNs and the CSDns may be temporally complex. LNs are incredibly diverse, so future work will be needed to determine the influence of the CSDns upon specific LN subtypes that play distinct functional roles in olfactory coding. For instance, populations of cortical interneurons are differentially modulated to shift the balance between inhibitory states (Beierlein et al., 2000) and a similar mechanism has been suggested for the larval AL (Berck et al., 2016). Finally, the output of the CSDns appears to be heterogeneous, yet stereotyped, suggesting that the CSDns could potentially serve to establish a network state within the AL, as has been suggested for Raphe neurons in the OB (Liu et al., 2012) and demonstrated by for DRN in the prefrontal cortex (Puig et al., 2010), with the opportunity for stimulus-specific refinement. Future studies based on the connectivity established here, can elucidate how individual modulatory neurons such as the CSDns integrate network-wide inhibition and stimulus specific excitation to affect odor coding. Determining the connectivity of
individual modulatory neurons therefore provides a framework for dissecting the guiding principles by which neuromodulation shapes sensory network dynamics.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. CSD neuron morphology. A, MB465c-spGal4 driven expression of GFP (green) highlights the broadly projecting innervation pattern of the CSDns throughout the *Drosophila* brain. Neuropil are delineated with Brp-ir in magenta. B, Schematic of a single CSD neuron: The CSDns project throughout the olfactory system, including the antennal lobes (AL; cyan), antler (ATL; green), superior lateral protocerebrum (SLP; purple), mushroom body calyx (MBC; orange), and lateral horn (LH; red). In subsequent figures, cartoons highlight specific regions of interest depicted in said figure. C, CSDns are 5-HT-immunoreactive (-ir). R60F02-Gal4 driven expression of GFP in the CSDns (green) completely overlaps with 5-HT-ir (magenta). All scale bars = 50 um. D = dorsal, L = lateral, and P = posterior.

Figure 2. CSDns receive homogeneous input from GABAergic and glutamatergic LNs across the antennal lobe. A, RFP (cyan) expression by the CSDns (via MB465c-spGal4) and GFP (green) expression driven by a subset of LNs (via R32F10-LexA) in the AL. Brp-ir delineates neuropil (magenta). B, All LNs in R32F10-LexA are GABAergic. Representative confocal stack of seven R32F10-LexA cell bodies (GFP; green) co-localize with GABA-ir (magenta). C, D, syb::GRASP signal between R32F10-LexA (presynaptic) and MB465c-spGal4 (postsynaptic) in many glomeruli throughout the AL. C, Depicts a posterior depth and D, an anterior depth. E, RFP (cyan) expression...
by the CSDns (via R60F02-Gal4) and GFP (green) expression driven by glutamatergic LNs (via VGlut-LexA) in the AL. F, syb:GRASP signal between VGlut-LexA (presynaptic) and R60F02-Gal4 (postsynaptic) in many glomeruli throughout the AL. Reconstituted GFP (green) was identified as GFP-ir only in close association with 5-HT-ir processes (magenta). N-Cadherin-ir delineates neuropil (blue). All scale bars = 20 um.

Figure 3. ORNs provide glomerulus-specific input to the CSDns in the AL. A, GFP driven expression of Or83b-LexA (green) and 5HT-ir (cyan) in the AL at (A1) Anterior, (A2) Middle, and (A3) Posterior depths. B, syb:GRASP signal of Or83b-LexA (presynaptic) and MB465c-spGal4 (post-synaptic) is located within DL4, DM2, and DM1 as depicted by scans at (B1) Anterior, (B2) Middle, and (B3) Posterior depths. C, RFP (cyan) expression by the CSDns (via MB465c-spGal4) and GFP (green) expression by a subset of ORNs (via R10G02-LexA) in the AL. R10G02 labels a subset of ORNs, including some that express IRs. C1, Anterior, C2, Posterior. N-Cadherin-ir delineates neuropil in (A) and (B). Brp-ir delineates neuropil in (C). D, syb:GRASP signal between R10G02-LexA (presynaptic) and MB465c-spGal4 (postsynaptic) is localized to just two glomeruli: VM1 (C1) and VA7l (C2). N-Cadherin-ir delineates neuropil (blue). Reconstituted GFP (green) was identified as GFP-ir only in close association with 5-HT-ir processes (magenta). N-Cadherin-ir delineates neuropil (blue) in (D). syb:GRASP was observed less frequently in VA7l (B1) via R10G02 and DL4 (D2) via Or83b-LexA, suggesting inter-animal variability. All scale bars = 20 um.
**Figure 4.** PNs provide glomerulus-specific input to the CSDns in the AL. 

A, RFP (cyan) expression by the CSDns (via MB465c-spGal4) and GFP (green) expression driven by a subset of PNs (via GH146-LexA::GAD) in the AL. 

B, syb:GRASP signal between GH146-LexA::GAD (presynaptic) and MB465c-spGal4 (postsynaptic) is localized to the DM5 glomerulus. Reconstituted GFP (green) was identified as GFP-ir only in close association with 5-HT-ir processes (magenta). 

C, GFP (green) expression of PNs in the DM5 by R44A08-lexA in the AL. 


E, Average CSD neuron EPSPs evoked by Channelrhodopsin photostimulation of PNs via Mz19-QF. The CSDns were held at -60mV and PN activation results in a ~3mV EPSP in the CSDn (Saline; black trace) which is greatly reduced by 100uM mecamylamine (Mec; red trace). 

F, Mecamylamine (red circles) significantly decreased the PN evoked CSD EPSPs relative to saline conditions. n = 7 CSD neurons, p = 0.0053, paired t-test. 

G, Glomeruli in which the CSDns receive input from PNs (light green) or ORNs (dark green) at anterior, middle, and posterior AL depths. All scale bars = 20 um.

**Figure 5.** CSD neurons provide 5-HTergic input to multiple levels of olfactory processing. MB465c-spGal4 driven expression of synaptotagmin GFP (syt.eGFP) expression (cyan) co-localizes with 5-HT-ir processes (yellow) in the A, antennal lobe B, LH and SLP. syt.eGFP expression is relatively weak in CSDn axons traveling via the mALT (arrow). 

C, MB465c-spGal4 driven expression of synaptotagmin GFP (syt.eGFP)
expression in the LH and SLP. D, MB465c-spGal4 driven expression of synaptotagmin GFP (syt.eGFP) expression in the mushroom body calyx. E,F,G, MB465c driven expression of temperature sensitive diphtheria toxin via UAS-DTI ablates the CSDns and abolishes all 5-HT-ir (yellow) in the (E1-E2) AL, (F1-F2) Calyx, (G1-G2) LH, as well as a portion of 5-HT-ir in the SLP. N-Cadherin-ir delineates neuropil (magenta). All scale bars = 20 um.

Figure 6. Distribution of CSDn active zones is heterogeneous across AL glomeruli. A, MB465c-spGal4 driven expression of Brp-short_mStraw (yellow) and GFP (cyan) in the AL used to quantify CSDn active zones. Scale bar = 20 um. B,C, Example of marked CSDn Brp-short puncta (green) across two 2D planes, (B) X-Y and (C) Y-Z, in the V glomerulus. Brp-ir delineates neuropil (magenta). Scale bar = 10 um. D, Normalized Brp-short puncta expressed by the CSD neurons within glomeruli across the AL at (D1) anterior, (D2) middle and (D3) posterior depths. (N=7-12 animals per glomerulus). Red intensity corresponds to normalized Brp-short puncta density. Glomeruli shown in grey could not be consistently identified and were not analyzed. E, Glomeruli rank ordered by normalized Brp-short puncta density. As in (D), red intensity corresponds to normalized Brp-short puncta density. F, Coefficient of Variance for each glomerulus. All glomeruli fall into the interquartile range except for DL3, DA4l, VA7l, and DL4.

Figure 7. CSDns synapse onto PNs and LNs in the AL. A, syb:GRASP signal between MB465c-spGal4 (presynaptic) and GH146-LexA:GAD (postsynaptic) is distributed throughout the AL. Reconstituted GFP (green) was identified as GFP-ir only in close
association with 5-HT-ir processes (magenta). B, syb:GRASP signal between CSDns (presynaptic, via MB465c) and LNs (postsynaptic, via R32F10-LexA). Reconstituted GFP (green) was identified as GFP-ir only in close association with 5-HT-ir processes (magenta). N-Cadherin-ir delineates neuropil (blue). All scale bars = 20 um.
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Table 1: Antibodies used for immunocytochemistry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Species, Manufacturer, cat. no.</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Incubation time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>Goat (ImmunoStar Cat# 20079, RRID:AB_572262)</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>Rabbit (ImmunoStar Cat# 20080, RRID:AB_572263)</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brp</td>
<td>Mouse (DSHB Cat# nc82, RRID:AB_2314868)</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed</td>
<td>Rabbit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc. Cat# 632496, RRID:AB_10013483)</td>
<td>1:250</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP (for GRASP)</td>
<td>Mouse (Sigma-Aldrich Cat# G6539, RRID:AB_259941)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Rabbit (Molecular Probes Cat# A-11122, RRID:AB_221569)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Chicken (Abcam Cat# ab13970, RRID:AB_300798)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Cadherin</td>
<td>Rat (DSHB Cat# DN-Ex #8, RRID:AB_2619582)</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. List of antibodies used for immunocytochemistry protocols including antigen, host species, manufacturer, catalog number, dilution used and incubation time.